

democraticwhip.gov • (202) 225-3130

FLOOR SCHEDULE FOR MONDAY, MAY 20, 2013

| HOUSE MEETS AT: | FIRST VOTE PREDICTED: | LAST VOTE PREDICTED: |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 12:00 p.m.: Morning Hour 2:00 p.m.: Legislative Business | 6:30 p.m. | 7:00 p.m. |
| Unlimited "One Minutes" | | |

^{**} Following one minute speeches, the House is expected to recess until approximately 5:00 p.m. At that time, the House will reconvene and consider the two bills listed for consideration under suspension of the Rules. Any recorded votes requested will be postponed until 6:30 p.m.

Suspensions (2 bills)

- 1) H.R. 258 Stolen Valor Act (Rep. Heck (NV) Judiciary)
- 2) H.R. 1073 Nuclear Terrorism Conventions Implementation and Safety of Maritime Navigation Act of 2013 (Rep. Sensenbrenner Judiciary)

TOMORROW'S OUTLOOK

The GOP Leadership has announced the following schedule for Tuesday, May 21: The House will meet at 12:00 p.m. for legislative business. The House is expected to consider bills under suspension of the Rules.

The Daily Quote

"We have not seen any strong evidence that the political system as a whole is more effective, more stable, or more predictable than we thought it was in 2011. There does seem to be, especially in recent years, an overall trend in the U.S. to effectively make major policy decisions at the last moment in a crisis setting. We don't see that as credit-positive... The negative outlook primarily is about the risks we see that U.S. policymakers may not reach an agreement on how to consolidate fiscally. At the very least, we need an agreement that looks out five years. Also, we would need for that agreement to be large enough to make a difference—something that would keep the debt-to-GDP ratios from continuing to rise as they have been for most of the past 10 years. And, thirdly, we would have to view this plan as credible, meaning we would have to see a reasonable basis for believing that this plan would actually be implemented. The best proof would be if you had, at very least, a substantial share of the lawmakers from both parties agree on this plan, because then you have some reason to think that even after an election, this plan would could keep going."

- Nikola Swann, S&P Director of Sovereign Ratings, National Journal, 5/16/13